

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMINGEOUS

2 3	MIKE GLEASON Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED	
	Commissioner	
4	JEFF HATCH-MILLER APR 2 4 2008 Commissioner	
5	KRISTIN K. MAYES DOCKETED BY	
6	Commissioner GARY PIERCE	
7	Commissioner	
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NOS. T-04190A -08-0030	
	OF OWEST I D CORD TARIES EILING TO	
9	INCREASE THE MAXIMUM MONTHLY \ DECISION NO	
10	RATES FOR AN OBSOLETE) ORDER RESIDENTIAL CALLING PLAN	
11	\	
12		
13	Open Meeting	
14	April 8 and 9, 2008 Phoenix, Arizona	
15	BY THE COMMISSION:	
16	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>	
17	1. Qwest LD Corp. ("QLDC") is certificated to provide interexchange	
18	telecommunications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.	
19	2. On January 14, 2008, QLDC filed tariff revisions to increase QLDC's maximum	
20	monthly rate per line charge for the QLDC Choice Long Distance obsolete residential calling plan	
21	from \$2.00 to \$3.00:	
22		
23	Current Maximum Proposed Maximum Calling Plan Monthly Rate Monthly Rate	
24	Per Line Per Line QLDC Choice Long Distance \$2.00 \$3.00	
25	QLDC Choice Long Distance \$2.00	
26	Qwest LD Corp.	
27	Arizona Tariff No. 2	
41	II	

Section 103, Page 22, Release 3

28

3. The maximum monthly rates are related to the subject of a proceeding that resulted in a Settlement Agreement approved by Decision No. 67745 on April 11, 2005.

Staff and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, affiliated companies (which includes QCC) or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") agreed to a settlement of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904 (hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement were intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.

- 4. In the Settlement Agreement, Qwest acknowledged and agreed to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion of the Generic Docket¹, the Staffs interpretation of the Arizona statues and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls. The Generic Docket was required as part of the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. On December 19, 2006, Staff filed its report in the Generic Docket. In its report, Staff recommended:
 - "...that QLDC be given the option of (1) listings all long distance rates and charges within its Arizona intrastate tariffs or (2) denoting within its Arizona intrastate tariffs those charges and rates which are listed in QLDC's interstate tariffs."
 - "...that QLDC be given 90 days to modify its Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly."
 - "...that all intrastate long distance providers comply with the above Staff interpretation of tariffing rules and statutes. Intrastate long distance providers should be given 90 days to modify their Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly, if necessary."

¹ In The Matter Of The Generic Investigation Of Tariffing And Notice Requirements For Combined Interstate And Intrastate Calling Plans, Docket No. RT-00000J-05-0329

² Actual information was been provided to Staff as Confidential; revised February 22, 2008.

³ Id

Qwest LD Corp. 2006 Annual Report, April 15, 2007.

6. A decision has not been issued in the Generic Docket. Until such time as the Commission makes a determination in the Generic Docket, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement continue to apply.

- 7. Since QLDC proposes to increase the maximum monthly rate for a component of a service that has been classified as competitive under the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Service Rules, Arizona Administrative Code Rule ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1110 applies to QLDC's proposal. QLDC provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to allow Staff to determine the potential affects of approval of the filing.
- 8. The proposed rate increase contained in this filing is for a service that has been classified as competitive by the Commission and is now subject to the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Services Rules. Under those rules, rates for competitive services are generally not set according to rate of return regulation standards.
- 9. QLDC provided information in a confidential exhibit that indicated the Estimated Potential Additional Revenues associated with this filing at end of year 2007 were less than \$2,500,000². At end of year 2007, the customer base for these services was less than 200,000³ residence customers and declined by approximately 30 percent in 2007.
- 10. The revenue increase associated with the proposed maximum rates is less than 10% of QLDC's 2006 intrastate revenues⁴. The revenue increase also supports interstate long distance service as well as intrastate long distance services. Additionally, QLDC provided information indicating that its proposed rates are comparable to those of competitor calling plans⁵.
- 11. Staff obtained information regarding QLDC's fair value rate base. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information of QLDC, it did not accord that information substantial weight in it analysis of this matter.

⁵ e.g., AT&T - \$2.00, \$2.95, \$7.95, \$32.99; Cox - \$3.95, \$25.00; Embarq - \$5.95, \$6.95.

4 5

7

8

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

- Staff notes that QLDC did not file changes to the current rates corresponding to the 12. maximum proposed rates, as are required by A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B). QLDC, therefore, is not increasing the current or actual prices at this time but, rather, plans at some future time to file for This filing will not have immediate impact on the prices paid by residence such increases. customers. At a time when QLDC does propose raising its current or actual rates, QLDC must file such changes consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B).
 - Staff has recommended approval of this filing. 13.
- In its filing QLDC provided evidence that it has provided notice to its customers. 14. Because Staff believes that the Company did not comply with the Commission's public notice requirements, Staff recommends that QLDC re-notice customers in a manner and form consistent with Commission requirements

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Owest LD Corp. is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of 1. Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Qwest LD Corp. and over the subject matter of this Application.
- The Commission, having reviewed the tariff pages (copies of which are contained in 3. the Commission's tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated March 25, 2008 concludes the tariff filing is reasonable, fair and equitable, and is therefore in the public interest.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the tariff filing be and hereby is approved.

Decision No. 70301

Page 5

form consistent with Commission requirements.

DISSENT

EGJ:CLA:AFF:lhm\MAS

	Page 6
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: QWEST LD COR DOCKET NO. T-0419A-08-0030
2	
3	Ms. Sharon Alvarado
4	Regulatory Support Manager
5	Qwest LD Corp. 1801 California Street, 47th Floor
6	Denver, Colorado 80202
7	Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Mr. Christopher C. Kempley
11	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	
13	Filoelix, Arizolia 85007
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Decision No. ___**70301**____

Docket No. T-04190A -08-0030